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#### Abstract

This paper is concerned with numerical procedures for the evaluation of the zeros, with respect to order, of Hankel functions and their derivatives in cases when the arguments of these functions are held fixed. Using Olver's asymptotic expansions, two auxiliary tables have been computed, one appropriate for real and the other for purely imaginary argument. These tables, included herein, permit the calculation of rather accurate approximations to the desired $v$-zeros for wide ranges of argument and index. Moreover, from the given tabular entries, the errors attendant with any approximate $v$-zero so determined can be easily estimated.


1. Introduction. In numerous scattering and diffraction problems where circular or spherical boundaries are present, the zeros of Bessel functions (or combinations thereof) are of interest. Previously we have studied, from a theoretical point-of-view [1], the roots of

$$
\begin{align*}
H_{v}^{(1)}(w) & =0  \tag{1.1}\\
(d / d w) H_{v}^{(1)}(w) & =0  \tag{1.2}\\
(d / d w) H_{v}^{(1)}(w)+i W H_{v}^{(1)}(w) & =0 \quad(W=\text { constant }), \tag{1.3}
\end{align*}
$$

where these Hankel functions and their derivatives are to be considered as functions of their order $v$ with fixed argument $w$. We now focus attention on procedures which can be used to obtain numerical values for the $v$-zeros of these functions. Particular consideration is given to (1.1) and (1.2) for the situation wherein the argument $w$ is either positive real or purely imaginary. In the latter case it is well known that the $v$-zeros of $H_{v}^{(1)}(w)$ and $(d / d w) H_{v}^{(1)}(w)$ are themselves purely imaginary also [6], [7].

Our point-of-departure is the uniform asymptotic expansion of Olver [2], [3] for the Hankel function of the first kind.* After reversion, it turns out that auxiliary tabular values may be computed, which allow rather accurate approximation of $v$-zeros for wide ranges of argument and index. Second differences are included in the tables for purposes of interpolation, and certain limiting cases which extend the domain of applicability are also considered. In a sense, then, the work reported herein complements that already appearing in [1].

In the absence of "exact" values with which to verify the accuracy of roots of (1.1) and (1.2) computed using our procedures, the attendant error can only be estimated. We have done this in two ways: by calculation of a first-order correction term based upon Olver's representation and by comparison with results obtained using a four-

[^0]term Debye approximation to the Hankel functions [4], [5]. In the latter case we show how any inaccuracies in the Debye expression itself might be roughly ascertained.
2. Preliminaries. It should be recalled that the roots of equations (1.1), (1.2), and (1.3) are symmetric about the origin, since $e^{(1 / 2) v \pi i} H_{v}^{(1)}(w)$ and $e^{(1 / 2) v \pi i}(d / d w) H_{v}^{(1)}(w)$ are even functions of $v$. We need only to concern ourselves, therefore, with $v$-zeros lying, say, in the upper half-plane. If we enumerate these with the integer index $s$, in order of increasing magnitude, then as $s \rightarrow \infty$,
\[

$$
\begin{equation*}
v_{s}=\frac{i \pi s}{i\left(\frac{1}{2} \pi-\arg w\right)+\ln (3 \pi s / e|w|)}\left[1+O\left(\frac{\ln \ln s}{\ln s}\right)\right] \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

\]

for the roots of both (1.1) and (1.2) [1]. For positive (negative) $w$ these $v$-zeros are all located in the first (second) quadrants of the $v$-plane, and for large $s$ are such that $\arg v_{s} \approx \frac{1}{2} \pi$. If $\arg w=\frac{1}{2} \pi$, then Pólya [6], [7] has shown that $\arg v_{s}=\frac{1}{2} \pi$ exactly for all s.**

The appropriate uniform representation for the Hankel function of the first kind due to Olver takes the form ([2, p. 338], [3]):

$$
\begin{align*}
H_{v}^{(1)}(v z) \sim 2 e^{-(1 / 3) \pi i}\left(\frac{4 \zeta}{1-z^{2}}\right)^{1 / 4}\{ & \frac{\operatorname{Ai}\left(v^{2 / 3} e^{(2 / 3) \pi i \zeta}\right)}{v^{1 / 3}} \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \frac{A_{r}(\zeta)}{v^{2 r}} \\
& \left.+\frac{e^{(2 / 3) \pi i} \mathrm{Ai}^{\prime}\left(v^{2 / 3} e^{(2 / 3) \pi i \zeta)}\right.}{v^{5 / 3}} \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \frac{B_{r}(\zeta)}{v^{2 r}}\right\} \tag{2.2}
\end{align*}
$$

as $|v| \rightarrow \infty, 0 \leqq \arg v \leqq \pi,|\arg z|<\pi .^{* * *}$ Here the original argument $w$ of $H_{v}^{(1)}$ has temporarily been replaced by $v z$ and

$$
\begin{align*}
& B_{r}(\zeta)=\frac{1}{2} \zeta^{-1 / 2} \int_{0}^{\zeta} t^{-1 / 2}\left\{f(t) A_{r}(t)-A_{r}^{\prime \prime}(t)\right\} d t  \tag{2.3}\\
& A_{0}(\zeta)=1, \quad A_{r+1}(\zeta)=-\frac{1}{2} B_{r}^{\prime}(\zeta)+\frac{1}{2} \int f(\zeta) B_{r}(\zeta) d \zeta
\end{align*}
$$

with

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{r+1}(-\infty)=0 \quad \text { for } r \geqq 0, \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
f(\zeta)=\frac{5}{16 \zeta^{2}}-\frac{\zeta z^{2}\left(z^{2}+4\right)}{4\left(1-z^{2}\right)^{3}}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{2}{3} \zeta^{3 / 2} \equiv \int_{z}^{1} \frac{\left(1-t^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}}{t} d t=\ln \left[\frac{1+\left(1-z^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}}{z}\right]-\left(1-z^{2}\right)^{1 / 2} \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Ai is the well-known Airy function of the first kind (see [3, pp. 446 ff ], for instance)

[^1]and is an entire function of its argument. The coefficients $A_{r}(\zeta), B_{r}(\zeta)$ given by (2.3), $f(\zeta)$ from (2.4), and $z(\zeta)$ defined by (2.5), however, are only regular analytic functions in a $\zeta$-plane cut, say, along the rays $\arg \zeta= \pm \frac{1}{3} \pi$ from $\zeta=(3 \pi / 2)^{2 / 3} e^{ \pm(1 / 3) \pi i}$ to infinity.

Table 1
$(1 / Z), \Delta$, and $\Delta^{\prime}$ as Functions of $\lambda^{1 / 3}$ for $\left(\zeta^{3 / 2} / Z\right)=\lambda$

| $\lambda^{1 / 3}$ | $1 / 2$ | $\delta^{2}$ | $\Delta$ | $\Delta^{1}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 0.00 | 1.0000 | 0.0636 | -0.0143 | $-\infty$ |
| 0.20 | 1.0318 | 0.0640 | -0.0140 | -3.11 |
| 0.40 | 1.1275 | 0.0651 | -0.0132 | -0.750 |
| 0.60 | 1.2884 | 0.0670 | -0.0120 | -0.315 |
| 0.80 | 1.5162 | 0.0694 | -0.0106 | -0.165 |
| 1.00 | 1.8134 | 0.0722 | -0.00923 | -0.0971 |
| 1.20 | 2.1829 | 0.0754 | -0.00789 | -0.0617 |
| 1.40 | 2.6277 | 0.0788 | -0.00669 | -0.0414 |
| 1.60 | 3.1514 | 0.0824 | -0.00565 | -0.0290 |
| 1.80 | 3.7574 | 0.0860 | -0.00477 | -0.0210 |
| 2.00 | 4.4495 | 0.0897 | -0.00403 | -0.0156 |
| 2.00 | 4.4495 | 0.5608 | -0.00403 | -0.0156 |
| 2.50 | 6.5803 | 0.6189 | -0.00268 | -0.00820 |
| 3.00 | 9.3300 | 0.6766 | -0.00184 | -0.00479 |
| 3.50 | 12.756 | 0.733 | -0.00130 | -0.00303 |
| 4.00 | 16.916 | 0.789 | -0.000952 | -0.00203 |
| 4.50 | 21.864 | 0.843 | -0.000713 | -0.00143 |
| 5.00 | 27.655 | 0.896 | -0.000546 | -0.00104 |
| 5.50 | 34.342 | 0.948 | -0.000427 | -0.000780 |
| 6.00 | 41.977 | 0.999 | -0.000340 | -0.000600 |
|  |  |  |  |  |

3. The $v$-Zeros of $\boldsymbol{H}_{v}^{(1)}(w)$ for Fixed $w$. The expansion (2.2) shows that the $v$-zeros of $H_{v}^{(1)}(v z)$ are given asymptotically by the $v$-solutions of

$$
\operatorname{Ai}\left(\nu^{2 / 3} e^{(2 / 3) \pi i} \zeta\right)=0
$$

in other words, as $|v| \rightarrow \infty$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
v^{2 / 3} e^{(2 / 3) \pi i \zeta} \sim a_{s} \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the $a_{s}, s=1,2, \ldots$ are the (negative real) zeros of the Airy function Ai. If we restore the original argument $w=v z$ of the Hankel function, it follows from (3.1) that the $v$-zeros of $H_{v}^{(1)}(w)$ for fixed $w$ should satisfy

$$
\begin{equation*}
w / z(\zeta)=v_{s} \sim e^{-\pi i}\left(a_{s} / \zeta\right)^{3 / 2} \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is by means of this expression that $\zeta$ and $z$, which are originally related by (2.5), are now given as implicit functions of $w$ and $s$.

The relation (3.2) can be rewritten as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\zeta^{3 / 2}(z) / z \sim i\left(-a_{s}\right)^{3 / 2} / w \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

which for real or purely imaginary $w$ has a right-hand side which is either purely imaginary or real. This suggests that for such arguments $w$, single-entry tables could be constructed, using (2.5), which would allow easy calculation of approximate $\nu$-zeros. In fact, for given $\lambda$, the equations

$$
\frac{\zeta^{3 / 2}(z)}{z}=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
i \lambda  \tag{3.4}\\
\lambda
\end{array}\right.
$$

may be numerically inverted to yield $z$ (or $1 / z$ for convenience). This we have done and the results are tabulated in Tables 1 and 2, to 5 significant figures, where, for simplicity in presentation of the data, $\lambda^{1 / 3}$ has been chosen as the independent parameter. Second central differences are given for use in interpolating intermediate values, say by Everett's formula [3, p. 880]:

$$
\begin{equation*}
f\left(x_{0}+p h\right)=q f_{0}-\frac{q\left(1-q^{2}\right)}{3!} \delta_{0}^{2}+p f_{1}-\frac{p\left(1-p^{2}\right)}{3!} \delta_{1}^{2}+\cdots \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

with

$$
0 \leqq p \leqq 1, \quad q=1-p
$$

The tabular step $h\left(0.20\right.$ for $0 \leqq \lambda^{1 / 3} \leqq 2.00$ and 0.50 for $\left.2.00 \leqq \lambda^{1 / 3} \leqq 6.00\right)$ is a compromise choice which permits interpolation accurate to within two units in the last decimal place, while at the same time keeping the size of the tables within modest bounds. The tables have been terminated at the point where ( $1 / z$ ) can be determined from the somewhat simpler approximate relations

$$
\frac{3}{2}\left(\frac{1}{z}\right) \ln \left[\frac{2}{e z}\right]=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
i \lambda  \tag{3.6}\\
\lambda
\end{array}\right.
$$

(cf. Eqs. (5.2), (5.4) of [17) with roughly five significant figure accuracy. $\dagger$ Figure 1 graphically displays the real and imaginary parts of $(1 / z)$ from Table 2. (Compare Figure 1 of [97.)

[^2]Table 2
$(1 / Z), \Delta$, and $\Delta^{\prime}$ as Functions of $\lambda^{1 / 3}$ for $\left(\zeta^{3 / 2} / Z\right)=i \lambda$

| $\boldsymbol{\lambda}^{1 / 3}$ | $1 / 2$ |  | $\boldsymbol{\delta}^{2}$ |  | $\Delta$ |  | $\Delta^{\prime}$ |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $R$ | I | $R$ | I | R | I | R | $\infty$ |
| 0.00 | 1.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.01317 | 0.0550 | -0.0143 | 0.000000 | $-\infty$ | 2.73 |
| 0.20 | 1.0159 | 0.0275 | 0.0315 | 0.0554 | -0.0141 | 0.000249 | -1.54 | 0.680 |
| 0.40 | 1.0632 | 0.1104 | 0.0310 | 0.0564 | -0.0137 | 0.000941 | -0.355 | 0.299 |
| 0.60 | 1.1416 | 0.2498 | 0.0301 | 0.0582 | -0.0128 | 0.00193 | -0.138 | 0.164 |
| 0.80 | 1.2500 | 0.4473 | 0.0292 | 0.0605 | -0.0116 | 0.00298 | -0.0641 | 0.100 |
| 1.00 | 1.3877 | 0.7054 | 0.0282 | 0.0635 | -0.0100 | 0.00386 | -0.0319 | 0.0655 |
| 1.20 | 1.5535 | 1.0270 | 0.0273 | 0.0669 | -0.00836 | 0.00442 | -0.0163 | 0.0445 |
| 1.40 | 1.7466 | 1.4154 | 0.0266 | 0.0706 | -0.00671 | 0.00461 | -0.00841 | 0.0312 |
| 1.60 | 1.9663 | 1.8744 | 0.0261 | 0.0745 | -0.00525 | 0.00451 | -0.00435 | 0.0225 |
| 1.80 | 2.2122 | 2.4078 | 0.0258 | 0.0785 | -0.00404 | 0.00421 | -0.00226 | 0.0225 |
| 2.00 | 2.4839 | 3.0198 | 0.0257 | 0.0825 | -0.00309 | 0.00380 | -0.00117 | 0.0166 |
| 2.00 | 2.4839 | 3.0198 | 0.1610 | 0.5157 | -0.00309 | 0.00380 | -0.00117 | 0.0166 |
| 2.50 | 3.2755 | 4.9195 | 0.1619 | 0.5780 | -0.00158 | 0.00276 | -0.000218 | 0.00854 |
| 3.00 | 4.2291 | 7.3972 | 0.1657 | 0.6384 | -0.000848 | 0.00194 | -0.000027 | 0.00491 |
| 3.50 | 5.3484 | 10.513 | 0.1706 | 0.696 | -0.000484 | 0.00138 | -0.000010 | 0.00307 |
| 4.00 | 6.6383 | 14.326 | 0.1762 | 0.753 | -0.000293 | 0.00101 | 0.000014 | 0.00205 |
| 4.50 | 8.1044 | 18.891 | 0.1819 | 0.807 | -0.000187 | 0.000751 | 0.000012 | 0.00143 |
| 5.00 | 9.7523 | 24.264 | 0.1876 | 0.861 | -0.000125 | 0.000573 | 0.000009 | 0.00104 |
| 5.50 | 11.588 | 30.498 | 0.193 | 0.913 | -0.000087 | 0.000446 | 0.000007 | 0.000780 |
| 6.00 | 13.617 | 37.644 | 0.199 | 0.964 | -0.000062 | 0.000353 | 0.000005 | 0.000600 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 3
$\left(-a_{S}\right)^{1 / 2}$ and $\left(-u_{S}^{\prime}\right)^{1 / 2}$ as Functions of the Index $S$

| $\begin{aligned} & \underset{\sim}{\sim} \\ & -\sim \\ & \underset{\sim}{1} \end{aligned}$ | omino示NOO．O －$\quad$－ 40 チウ்ทin | mingago Moぶーす ～～Mッチロ ninininin | がローが ペかめN <br>  $\nabla_{n}$ nn ทninininin | のテ～～Mñ いへ～のタの『のMm～ へ $\infty_{\infty} \infty$ ninininin | $\infty \sim \boxtimes \circ \infty$ <br> ～のロール <br> スヘニッベ～ <br> ＂000 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{gathered} \underset{\sim}{\sim} \\ \underset{\sim}{i} \end{gathered}$ | a゚omo ovinca <br>  oror． $\forall$ シninin | かonの日 ～ペ～o nomon NMMヲの ninininin | moom～ のローM～ ～へべ～ べローの ninininin | M～のオー － 5 －$N \sim$ ～oo oo웅 nininini | ～Oッ～～ ペッベ～5 $\sigma \mathrm{m}=0$ －فض． |
| $\sim$ | 수N | ল~MMঅn | MMMMO |  |  |


| $\begin{aligned} & \underset{\sim}{\leq} \\ & -i n \end{aligned}$ | $\mathfrak{n} \sim \sim_{0} \sim_{0}^{\infty}$ <br> のNiñin <br> －8かった <br> －－～～N | 응go <br> Móg <br> －óamin <br> Nimimin | MMO』す <br> －゚がずす <br> すூiNooㅇ <br> minimio |  <br> ぺーツウ <br> へのが手 <br> ナナナナ寸 | へのッすか <br> －minin <br>  <br> よテようよ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\underset{i}{\sum_{i}^{0}}$ |  | N～ー～～～～～～ Mon <br> minimimi |  |  |  |
| $\sim$ | －vman | oncoo． | ニ～Mロの | ำローか | ニ～M $\sim$～～ |



Figure 1. Real and Imaginary Parts of (1/z) from Table 2 for Case of $\left(\zeta^{3 / 2} / z\right)=i \lambda$; $v$-Zeros Given by $w / z$.
4. The $v$-Zeros of $(d / d w) H_{v}^{(1)}(w)$. Before proceeding to some typical calculations it is worth noting that analysis compatible with the above can be carried out for the derivatives of the Hankel function with respect to argument. The asymptotic expansion (2.2) can be differentiated term by term, with the result that the $v$-zeros of $(d / d w) H^{(1)}(w)$ appear asymptotically as the $v$-solutions of

$$
\operatorname{Ai}^{\prime}\left(v^{2 / 3} e^{(2 / 3) \pi i \zeta}\right)=0
$$

The analogue of (3.2) is then

$$
\begin{equation*}
w / z(\zeta)=v_{s}^{\prime} \sim e^{-\pi i}\left(a_{s}^{\prime} / \zeta\right)^{3 / 2} \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

(as $\left|v^{\prime}\right| \rightarrow \infty$ ). With the exception that the (negative real) turning-points $a_{s}^{\prime}$ of the Airy function Ai have here replaced the zeros $a_{s}$, the relation (4.1) is identical with that obtained earlier. Making the appropriate correlation, therefore, Tables 1 and 2 should also permit easy calculation of approximate $v^{\prime}$-zeros for either real or purely imaginary argument w. $\dagger \dagger$
$\dagger \dagger$ For the smaller $v^{\prime}$-zeros particularly, however, the values thus obtained may be a good deal less accurate than the corresponding zeros of $H_{v}^{(1)}(w)$. This characteristic phenomenon was observed earlier by Olver [2, p. 345], with regards to ordinary Bessel function zeros. Also see Section 6.
5. Sample Calculations. Let us assume that we are interested in, say, the 5th zero of $(d / d w) H_{v}^{(1)}(w)$ for various values of $w$. To carry out the calculation of our approximation we need knowledge of $\left(-a_{5}^{\prime}\right)^{1 / 2}$. This we find from the supplementary Table 3 of values of $\left(-a_{s}\right)^{1 / 2}$ and $\left(-a_{s}^{\prime}\right)^{1 / 2}, s=1(1) 50$, to be

$$
\left(-a_{5}^{\prime}\right)^{1 / 2} \doteq 2.7152
$$

With $w=i$, for instance, we thus obtain $\lambda^{1 / 3} \doteq 2.7152$, and hence interpolating from Table 1,

$$
\begin{aligned}
(1 / z) & \doteq(0.5696)(6.5803)-(0.0641)(0.6189)+(0.4304)(9.3300)-(0.0584)(0.6766) \\
& \doteq 7.6846
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $v=w / z$, we finally have

$$
v_{5}^{\prime} \doteq 7.6846 i
$$

which compares very favorably with the more accurate value of $7.6908 i$.
For the 10 th zero of $H_{v}^{(1)}(5)$ the calculation would proceed as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(-a_{10}\right)^{1 / 2} & \doteq 3.5817 \quad(\text { from Table 3) } \\
\lambda^{1 / 3} & \doteq 2.0946 \quad \text { (using Eqs. (3.3) and (3.4)) } \\
\frac{1}{z} & \doteq 2.6213+3.3378 i \quad \text { (interpolating using Table } 2 \text { and Eq. (3.5)) } \\
v_{10}=w / z & \doteq 13.107+16.689 i .
\end{aligned}
$$

Employing a four-term Debye approximation to the Hankel function [4], [5] we would find $v \approx 13.106+16.690 i$.
6. Improved Approximations. Within the limits imposed by the accuracy of (2.2) itself, progressively better approximations to the desired $v$-zeros can be obtained by incorporating higher-order terms of Olver's asymptotic expansion into our analysis. For instance, if we replace (3.1) by the more accurate relation

$$
\mathrm{Ai}\left(v^{2 / 3} e^{(2 / 3) \pi i \zeta)}+\operatorname{Ai}^{\prime}\left(v^{2 / 3} e^{(2 / 3) \pi i \zeta)} \frac{e^{(2 / 3) \pi i}}{v^{4 / 3}} B_{0}(\zeta)=0\right.\right.
$$

$v$ undergoes a perturbation (to first order) in the amount

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta v=\Delta / w \tag{6.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta \equiv-\zeta^{1 / 2} B_{0}(\zeta) z / \ln \left[\frac{1+\left(1-z^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}}{z}\right] \tag{6.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

In these equations

$$
B_{0}(\zeta)=-\frac{1}{24 \zeta^{1 / 2}}\left[\frac{3}{\left(1-z^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}}-\frac{5}{\left(1-z^{2}\right)^{3 / 2}}\right]-\frac{5}{48 \zeta^{2}},
$$

as determined from (2.3), and $z, \zeta$ are related as in (2.5), (3.3). As an illustration, then, we can calculate the correction, given by (6.1), for the second example of the preceding section. We find

$$
\Delta \doteq-0.003+0.004 i
$$

and hence

$$
\Delta v \doteq-0.001+0.001 i
$$

In completely analogous fashion, the $v$-zeros of the derivative of the Hankel function can be improved. For this case we obtain (again to first order)

$$
\Delta v^{\prime}=\Delta^{\prime} / w
$$

with

$$
\begin{align*}
\Delta^{\prime} & \equiv-z\left[\zeta^{1 / 2} B_{0}(\zeta)+\frac{\zeta^{-3 / 2}}{4}-\frac{z^{2}}{2\left(1-z^{2}\right)^{3 / 2}}\right] / \ln \left[\frac{1+\left(1-z^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}}{z}\right]  \tag{6.3}\\
& \approx-\frac{z\left(17+13 z^{2}\right)}{150\left(1-z^{2}\right)} \quad \text { as } z \rightarrow 1 .
\end{align*}
$$

For the first example in Section 5, we calculate

$$
\Delta v^{\prime}=-i \Delta^{\prime} \doteq 0.0067 i
$$

and thus

$$
v_{5}^{\prime}+\Delta v^{\prime} \doteq 7.6913 i
$$

The expressions (6.2) and (6.3) are functions of $z$ and $\zeta$; in view of (2.5), however, they can be tabulated in terms of $z$ alone. For completeness we have included such values in Tables 1 and 2. The approximate relation (6.4) will suffice to determine $\Delta^{\prime}$ in the neighborhood of the singularity at $\lambda=0$. The following expressions, moreover, which are in keeping with (3.6), will yield at least three significant figures for large $\lambda$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Delta & =\frac{-z}{72 \ln (2 / z)}\left[\frac{11-6 \ln (2 / z)}{1-\ln (2 / z)}\right] \\
\Delta^{\prime} & =\frac{z}{72 \ln (2 / z)}\left[\frac{1+6 \ln (2 / z)}{1-\ln (2 / z)}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

7. The Overall Error. In a considerable number of numerical examples the $v$-zeros obtained by the methods outlined in this paper have been compared with values computed using a Newton-Raphson procedure applied to a four-term Debye approximation to the Hankel function [4], [5]. Although we assume that these latter values are usually more accurate than even our improved approximations, they themselves do contain some inaccuracy, however. In the absence of a thorough error analysis, any such inaccuracy might be roughly ascertained as follows: Let

$$
H_{v}^{(1)}(w)=Z_{v}(w)+\varepsilon
$$

where $Z_{\nu}$ is the value of the Hankel function computed from the Debye approximation and $\varepsilon$ the associated error. Under the assumption that $\varepsilon$ is only a slowly varying function of $v$, the identity

$$
H_{v+1}^{(1)}(w)+H_{v-1}^{(1)}(w)=\frac{2 v}{w} H_{v}^{(1)}(w)
$$

permits the approximate calculation of $\varepsilon$ as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varepsilon \approx \frac{w}{2(v-w)}\left[Z_{v+1}(w)+Z_{v-1}(w)-\frac{2 v}{w} Z_{v}(w)\right] \tag{7.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

It then follows, using a two-term Taylor series, that

$$
\begin{equation*}
v-v_{0} \approx\left[Z_{v}(w)+\varepsilon\right] / \frac{\partial Z_{v}(w)}{\partial v} \tag{7.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $v_{0}$ is the desired zero of the Hankel function and $v$ is the value computed using the Debye approximation.

For the $v$-zeros of the Hankel function derivatives a similar analysis leads to

$$
\begin{align*}
v^{\prime}-v_{0}^{\prime} & \approx\left[\frac{v}{w} Z_{v}(w)-Z_{v+1}(w)+\frac{v-w}{w} \varepsilon\right] / \frac{\partial}{\partial v}\left[\frac{v}{w} Z_{v}(w)-Z_{v+1}(w)\right] \\
& \approx \frac{1}{2}\left[Z_{v-1}(w)-Z_{v+1}(w)\right] /\left[\frac{1}{w} Z_{v}(w)+\frac{v}{w} \frac{\partial Z_{v}(w)}{\partial v}-\frac{\partial Z_{v+1}(w)}{\partial v}\right] \tag{7.3}
\end{align*}
$$

In the cases investigated it appeared that five digit accuracy generally prevailed for all but the smallest zero or two.
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    *Since $H_{v}^{(2)}(\bar{w})$ is the complex conjugate of $H_{v}^{(1)}(w)$, the theory we present herein carries over directly to the Hankel function of the second kind as well.

[^1]:    **These results can also be easily established using methods such as those employed in [8].
    ${ }^{* * *}$ The range $|\arg \nu|<\frac{1}{2} \pi$ which Olver gives in [2] is unnecessarily restrictive; his results actually are valid in the larger region $-\frac{1}{2} \pi<\arg v<(3 / 2) \pi$ which includes the upper half of the $v$-plane as designated herein.

[^2]:    $\dagger$ Explicit approximate relations for $(1 / z)$ as a function of $\lambda$ much more elementary than Eq. (3.6) generally are considerably less accurate. For instance, the expression $z \approx 3 / 2 \lambda \ln [4 \lambda /(3 e \ln (2 \lambda / e))]$ (cf. Eqs. (5.3), (5.5) of [1]) still is in error by about $2 \%$ when $\lambda$ is $10^{6}$.

